



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/961,282	09/25/2001	Mitsuo Yasushi	Q66381	5328
7590 11/23/2004 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			WANG, LIANG CHE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 2003/ 3213			2155	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,282	YASUSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	Liang-che Alex Wang	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verified to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Second 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.      Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 25 Second 25 Second 26 Second 26 Second 26 Second 26 Second 27	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by th drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No sived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/24/03.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/961,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11 have been examined.

### Paper Submitted

- 2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file:
  - a. Information Disclosure Statements as received on 09/24/2003 is considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lemilainen et al., US Patent Number 6,681,259 hereinafter Lemilainen.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,282

Art Unit: 2155

5. Referring to claim 1, Lemilainen has taught a mobile communication device (item A, figure 1) for communicating data though a predetermined network line (see figure 6), comprising:

a. a plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices which are different at least in data communication speed from one another (figure 7, item D1, D2, D3);

Page 3

- b. selecting means for selecting one of said plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices in accordance with a data type of data signal to be transmitted or received (Col 2 line 66 Col 3 lines 19-25, 38-42, Col 9 lines 59-62); and
- c. control means for controlling data communication by the one radio transmitting/ receiving device selected by said selecting means (Col 3 lines 30-38, Col 5 lines 15-18, Col 10 lines 6-11).
- 6. Referring to claim 3, Lemilainen has taught an invention as described in claim 1, and has further taught wherein said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/ receiving device which is relatively available for communication at all times from said plurality of radio transmitting/ receiving devices as said one radio transmitting/ receiving device when the data signal to be transmitted or received indicates emergency information which should be urgently communicated (Col 3 lines 33-38)
- 7. Referring to claim 8, Lemilainen has further taught wherein said predetermined network line is the Internet (Figure 7 and Col 6 lines 42-49.)
- 8. Referring to claim 11, Lemilainen has taught a mobile communicating method for communicating data through a predetermined network line (see figure 6), comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/961,282

Art Unit: 2155

a. selecting one of a plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices which are different at least in data communication speed from one another in accordance with a data type of data signal to be transmitted or received (Col 2 line 66 – Col 3 lines 19-25, 38-42, Col 9 lines 59-62); and

Page 4

b. controlling a data communication by the selected one radio transmitting/receiving device (Col 3 lines 30-38, Col 5 lines 15-18, Col 10 lines 6-11).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2, 4-5, 7, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemilainen et al., US Patent Number 6,681,259 hereinafter Lemilainen.
- 11. Referring to claim 2, Lemilainen has taught an invention as described in claim 1, and has further taught wherein said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/ receiving device having a better data communication quality from said plurality of radio transmitting/ receiving devices as said one radio transmitting/ receiving device (Col 3 lines 30-33, and Col 10 lines 31-50).

Although Lemilainen has not explicitly taught selecting the higher speed when the data signal to be transmitted or received has a relatively large data size. However, it is obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to

understand the best possible quality connection as

understand the best possible quality connection as taught by Lemilaninen (Col 3 lines 30-33) could be the fastest speed available for transferring a large size file in order to provide the best possible quality connection. Because having the large file being transferred with a higher speed is providing a better quality of connection than having the large file transferred in a lower speed.

Therefore, a person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Lemilainen to provide the best possible quality connection as the higher speed when transferring a relatively large size file.

12. Referring to claim 4, Lemilainen has taught an invention as described in claim 1, and further taught: setting means for setting an access point for each of said plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices in accordance with a current position of a mobile unit (Col 9 lines 18-20, WLAN contains one or more cell, and each cell contains an access point); and wherein said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/ receiving device having a better data communication quality from said plurality of radio transmitting/ receiving devices as said one radio transmitting/ receiving device (Col 3 lines 30-33, and Col 10 lines 31-50).

Although Lemilainen has not explicitly taught selecting the higher speed when the data signal to be transmitted or received has a periodically transmitted data signal. However, it is obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to understand the best possible quality connection as taught by Lemilaninen (Col 3 lines 30-33) could be the fastest speed available for transferring a periodically transmitted data signal in order to provide the best possible quality connection. Because having the

data signal being transmitted with a higher speed is providing a better quality of connection than having the data signal transmitted in a lower speed.

Therefore, a person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Lemilainen to provide the best possible quality connection as the higher speed when said data signal to be transmitted is a periodically transmitted data signal.

- 13. Referring to claim 5, Lemilainen has further taught wherein said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/ receiving device which is relatively available for communication at all times from said plurality of radio transmitting/ receiving devices instead of said radio transmitting/receiving device having a higher data communication speed, as said one radio transmitting/receiving device, when said periodically transmitted data signal cannot be transmitted for a predetermined time period (Col 3 lines 30-42).
- 14. Referring to claim 7, Lemilainen has taught an invention as described in claim 1, and has further taught wherein in a case that data transmission or reception is requested in accordance with a manipulation (Col 3 lines 9-24, Col 12 lines 57-64, changing location in order to change type of connection is viewed as manipulation.), said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/ receiving device having a better data communication quality as said one radio transmitting/ receiving device when the radio transmitting/receiving device having a better quality of connection is available for communication within said plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices (Col 10 lines 31-46, Col 3 lines30-33), and said selecting means selects a radio transmitting/receiving device which is relatively available for communication at all times from said plurality of radio

transmitting/receiving devices as said one radio transmitting/receiving device when said radio transmitting/receiving device originally having a better quality of connection is not available for the communication (Col 3 lines 30-38).

Although Lemilainen has not explicitly taught selecting the higher speed.

However, it is obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to understand the best possible quality connection as taught by Lemilaninen (Col 3 lines 30-33) could be the fastest speed available for transferring transmission in order to provide the best possible quality connection. Because having a file being transferred with a higher speed is providing a better quality of connection than having the file transferred in a lower speed.

Therefore, a person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Lemilainen to provide the best possible quality connection as the higher speed during communication.

- 15. Referring to claim 10, Lemilainen has further taught wherein said radio transmitting/receiving device which is relatively available for communication at all times (Col 3 lines 33-37) within said plurality of radio transmitting/receiving devices is a mobile telephone (Col 5 lines 5-12).
- 16. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemilainen in views of Willins et al., US Publication Number 2003/0021250, hereinafter Willins.
- 17. Referring to claim 6, Lemilaninen has taught an invention as described in claim 4, and has further taught wherein said setting means sets a travel route from a current position to

Art Unit: 2155

a destination of said mobile unit, and sets an access point for each of said plurality of radio transmitting /receiving devices (Col 9 lines 16-22.)

Lemilainen has not explicitly taught wherein the access point is located at the shortest distance from the current position of said mobile unit.

However, Willins has taught according to the 802.11 protocol, access point is located at the shortest distance from the current position of said mobile unit (page 1 [0011]).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Lemilainen's access point to be located at the shortest distance from the current position of said mobile unit as taught by Willins (page 1 [001]) because both of Lemilainen and Willins have taught inventions relating to wireless data communications and both have mobile device operating under IEEE 802.11 protocol (Lemilainen, Col 4 lines 25.)

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Lemilainen because Lemilainen's mobile is also using IEEE 802.11 protocol which the access point is located at the shortest distance from the current position of said mobile unit as taught by Willins (page 1 [0011]).

18. Referring to claim 9, Lemilainen has taught an invention as described in claim 2, however, Lemilainen has not taught wherein said radio transmitting/receiving device is a Bluetooth transmitter/receiver. However, Willins has explicitly taught the radio transmitting/receiving device can be a Bluetooth (Page 1 [0004].)

Art Unit: 2155

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Lemilainen's mobile device to be implemented using the Bluetooth protocol because both of Lemilainen and Willins have said radio transmitting/receiving device as the wireless device and Bluetooth is one of the wireless protocol.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Lemilainen because Bluetooth is a well known for short-range radio links between mobile computers, mobile phones, digital cameras, and other portable devices.

### Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is (571)272-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.
- 21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on (571)272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,282

Art Unit: 2155

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang & November 17, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 10